

EVALUATION GRID

APPLICATION TITLE:

NAME of EVALUATOR:

CRITERION	COMMENTS	SCORE 0 – 5*
A. The EFG scope is novel, timely and relevant		
1. Evidence of a new, innovative activity or way of working.		(Double weight)
2. Timely and relevant in terms of the potential scientific contribution.		
3. Timely and relevant in terms of their societal or practical contribution.		
B. The EFG activities are clearly outlined and purposeful		
4. There is evidence of potential purposeful outcome, that is aligned with the innovative and risk-taking nature of the application (not necessarily a product/output)		
5. The proposed budget represents value for money and clearly justifies expenses.		
C. The involvement of and relevance for Junior Researchers		
6. Evidence of a clear role for the Junior Researchers in the planned activity, which increases the potential for their integration into the EARLI scientific community and helps develop their careers.		



D. Involvement of and relevance for researchers working in low-GDP countries and "scholars-at-risk"

<p>7. Evidence of a clear role for the researchers working in low GDP countries and/or scholars-at-risk, which increases the potential for their integration into the EARLI scientific community.</p>	
---	--

E. Qualitative feedback

<p>8. Please provide a short summary (100 – 200 words) of your overall feedback for this application, which may be communicated to the applicant.</p>	
---	--

<p>9. Any other comments for internal purposes only.</p>	
--	--

<p>TOTAL NUMERIC SCORE</p>	
-----------------------------------	--

* Please offer a numeric score between 0 (not at all) to 5 (excellent).

